arXiv:1612.02716v1 [cond-mat.mes-hall] 8 Dec 2016

Linear polarization anisotropies of the zero-phonon line photoluminescence of an ensemble of nitrogen vacancy centers in diamond

D. Braukmann,[1] J. Debus,[1,*] V. P. Popov,[2] and M. Bayer[1]

[1] *Experimentelle Physik 2, Technische Universität Dortmund, 44227 Dortmund, Germany*
[2] *Rzhanov Institute of Semiconductor Physics, SB RAS, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia*

We report on the polarization-dependent optical characterization of nitrogen vacancies in a (001)-oriented diamond crystal subjected to high magnetic fields. A strong optical alignment of the zero-phonon line photoluminescence of up to about 40% is observed for an ensemble of negatively charged nitrogen vacancies. We demonstrate an anisotropy in the linear polarization degree as well as in the rotation of the linear polarization plane of the photoluminescence, which can be tuned from positive to negative values. A phenomenological approach, which takes into account symmetry aspects of the diamond crystal, explains well the anisotropy observed experimentally.

The negatively charged nitrogen vacancy (NV^-) center in diamond has been studied in recent years on account of its numerous possible applications in future technologies.[1] Particular focus has been drawn onto its optical properties. In that context, the triplet ground state forming a two-level quantum system can serve as a quantum bit, whose state can be controlled coherently by electron spin resonance. As a single photon source the NV^- center can be used for quantum information processing. Quantum entanglement, for example, was realized a few years ago.[2, 3] The NV^- center is also a highly sensitive sensor for crystal strain or electromagnetic fields.[4, 5] Furthermore, investigations have shown that the excitation of differently orientated NV centers is polarization dependent.[6] On the whole, the NV^- center is a promising candidate for novel spin-electronics and quantum information applications.

Although, the study of the NV^- center in diamond has started decades ago, a detailed picture of its energy- and spin-level structure is still missing so far. Here, we present polarization sensitive spectroscopy of an ensemble of NV^- centers in a bulk diamond crystal. The focus lies on the linear polarization properties of the NV^- centers. We analyze the polarization properties of the NV^- center dependent on the spatial orientation of the diamond crystal. In addition to that, polarization measurements at high magnetic fields are performed in order to determine the influence of spin and orbital properties on the polarization characteristics of the NV centers.

The sample studied is a bulk diamond crystal with a NV^- center density of about 0.14 ppm. It was mounted stress free in a split coil magnet cryostat in Faraday geometry. The excitation, detection and magnetic field axis corresponded to the {001} crystal direction of the diamond sample, which could be rotated around this axis. The sample rotation angle ϕ was varied between 0° and 360° in 15° steps. For optical excitation with an energy of about 2.21 eV a continuous-wave dye laser was used. The exciting laser light was linearly vertical (0°) polarized. The photoluminescence (PL) of the diamond sample was polarization-sensitive detected with a charge-coupled device camera installed at a single 0.5 m spectrometer.

The NV^- center consists of a substitutional nitrogen atom associated with a vacancy in the carbon lattice of diamond and consists of six electrons localized at the vacancy. These electrons form a discrete level structure.[7] The ground state $^3A_2$ and the first-excited state ^3E are both spin triplet states. The optical transition between these states is the well-known zero-phonon line (ZPL) with an energy of 1.946 eV. In the following considerations the linear polarization of the NV^- ZPL will be analyzed in terms of the degree of linear polarization or optical alignment

$$P_{\mathrm{lin}} = \frac{\sqrt{S_1^2 + S_2^2}}{S_0}. \tag{1}$$

It is defined via the Stokes parameters $S_0 = I_{0^\circ} + I_{90^\circ}$, $S_1 = I_{0^\circ} - I_{90^\circ}$ and $S_2 = I_{45^\circ} - I_{135^\circ}$. The index of PL intensity I indicates the angle of the polarization plane relative to the vertical axis. In analogy to the Faraday-rotation angle we define the rotation of the linear polarization degree θ, which indicates the rotation angle of the linear polarization plane of the emitted NV^- ZPL with respect to the vertical polarization plane of the exciting laser light

$$\theta = \frac{180^\mathrm{o}}{2\pi} \arctan\left(\frac{S_2}{S_1}\right). \tag{2}$$

When analyzing the angular dependence of the linear polarization, the rotation degree θ can be understand as the change of the optical alignment.

Generally speaking, we carried out polarization measurements in order to find a relation between the polarizations of the exciting light and the emitted PL. This relation can be mathematically expressed with the rank-four tensor A_{ijkn} as follows:

$$\langle E_i^{pl} E_j^{pl*} \rangle = A_{ijkn} \langle E_k^{ex} E_n^{ex*} \rangle. \tag{3}$$

Here, E_i^{pl} and E_i^{ex} are the components of the electric field vectors of the emitted PL and, respectively, the exciting light. Hence, $\langle E_i^{pl} E_j^{pl*} \rangle$ and $\langle E_k^{ex} E_n^{ex*} \rangle$ are the components of the non-normalized polarization tensor. [8] Since



FIG. 1. Spatial orientations of NV$^-$ centers in diamond: a) Four possible NV$^-$ center orientations (red arrows) and optical axes (green arrow). b) Two-dimensional projection of the NV$^-$ center orientation on the xy-plane.

it is a phenomenological expression, A_{ijkn} only depends on the symmetry of investigated object. Therefore, it is necessary to have a closer look at the symmetry of the NV$^-$ center in diamond.

On basis of the diamond lattice symmetry, one finds out that there are only four possible orientations for the NV$^-$ center, namely along the $\{111\}$, $\{1\bar{1}\bar{1}\}$, $\{\bar{1}1\bar{1}\}$ and $\{\bar{1}\bar{1}1\}$ directions.[9] In Fig. 1 a) this four possible orientations are illustrated. However, for an excitation along the $\{001\}$ direction only the two-dimensional (2D) projection on the xy-plane is important. This projection is depicted in Fig. 1 b). One can clearly see that the 2D projection shapes a rectangular cross; accordingly, the complex three-dimensional symmetry of the four NV$^-$ centers can be reduced to a cubic symmetry.

For a cubic symmetry, one obtains $A_{iiii} = A_{11}$, $A_{iijj} = A_{12}$, $A_{ijij} = A_{44}$ and $A_{ijji} = A_{47}$ with $i \neq j$, all other components are zero.[10] Furthermore, we consider only linear polarization and assume, in agreement with the experimental conditions, that the exciting laser light and the emitted PL travel along the $\{001\}$ axis. The linear polarization degree is then defined by

$$P_{\text{lin}}(\phi) = \frac{b+2c}{2a} + \frac{b}{2a}\cos(4\phi + \phi_0). \tag{4}$$

The parameters are given by $a = A_{11}+A_{12}$, $c = A_{44}+A_{47}$ and $b = A_{11} - A_{12} - A_{44} - A_{47}$. The angular offset ϕ_0 is the angle between the vertical axis and one of the crystal symmetry axis in the xy-plane. In conclusion, the angular dependence of the linear polarization degree $P_{\text{lin}}(\phi)$ of the emitted PL is determined by the crystal symmetry only. Finally, $P_{\text{lin}}(\phi)$ can be described by

$$P_{\text{lin}}(\phi) = d\cos(4\phi + \phi_0) + e \tag{5}$$

with the two independent parameters

$$d = \frac{b}{2a} \quad \text{and} \quad e = \frac{b+2c}{2a}. \tag{6}$$

In addition to that, one can estimate the angular anisotropy of the linear polarization rotation

$$\theta(\phi) = f\cos(4\phi + \phi_0 + 45^\circ) + g \tag{7}$$



FIG. 2. Angular anisotropy of the ZPL polarization: a) Linear polarization degree P_{lin} dependent on the sample rotation ϕ (black dots), the fit function (solid line) complies with Eq. ((5)). b) Rotation of linear polarization plane θ dependent on the sample rotation ϕ (black dots), the fit function (solid line) follows Eq. (7). The inaccuracy of the measurement lies within the symbol size. The red arrows indicate the orientation of the NV$^-$ centers in analogy to Fig. 1 b).

due to the fact that θ is the angular change of P_{lin}. Here, f and g are two independent parameters.

In Fig. 2 the experimental results as well as the corresponding fit functions are shown. We observe a strong optical alignment P_{lin}, which varies between 32% at about 45° sample rotation and 7% at 0°. The variation shows a strong 90° periodicity. This periodicity is also visible in the linear polarization rotation θ depicted in Fig. 2 b). Here, the variation lies between 19° at a sample rotation degree 0° and −12° at about 45°. It is worthwhile to note that the shape of the angular anisotropy of θ is shifted by about 45° with respect to the P_{lin} anisotropy.

Comparing the experimental results with the fit functions, one can clearly see that the angular anisotropy can be explained by our fundamental approach very well.

The fitting parameters are given by:

$$d = 0.11 \pm 0.01, \tag{8}$$
$$e = 0.201 \pm 0.008, \tag{9}$$
$$f = (14 \pm 1)^\circ, \tag{10}$$
$$g = (2.6 \pm 0.9)^\circ. \tag{11}$$

To understand the origin of the observed angular anisotropy of P_{lin}, let us look at the ZPL emission process in detail. The ZPL is a spin conserving optical transition between the first-excited state ^{3}E and the ground state ^{3}A$_2$ of the NV$^-$ center. Since we analyze the linear polarization properties, we focus on the linearly polarized states only. These are the spin-zero states with no orbital angular momentum, namely the excited states E$_x$, E$_y$ and the ^{3}A$_{20}$ ground state. The ZPL photons emitted during the relaxation process involving these states is linearly polarized along the quantization axis of the NV$^-$ center. Vice versa, in order to excite an electron in the E$_{x,y}$ state the polarization of the exciting light must be linearly along the quantization axis, too. In our case of excitation along the z-axis, the exciting light is polarized along the xy-diagonal, compare Fig. 1 b); thus, only two NV-centers are excited. In turn, only these two NV$^-$ centers contribute to the emission process of the linearly polarized ZPL and, therefore, P_{lin} is maximized. When the exciting light is polarized along the x- or y-axis, every NV$^-$ center is excited and every NV$^-$ center contributes to the emission process of the ZPL and P_{lin} decreases.

Analog considerations can be made for the angular anisotropy of θ. Simply speaking, θ is the rotation of P_{lin}, which is maximal when the angle between the polarization plane of the incident and emitted light is largest. This is the case, when the exciting light is polarized along the x- or y-axis. Otherwise, when the exciting light is polarized along one diagonal of the xy-plane, the polarization plane of the emitted light is parallel to this diagonal, too; hence, θ is minimal.

Besides the crystallographic properties, the polarization characteristics are also influenced by the electron spins of the NV$^-$ centers. In order to investigate this influence, polarization measurements at high magnetic fields were carried out. The angle dependence of P_{lin} shows similar 90° periodic oscillations at magnetic fields between 0 and 9 T, as depicted in Fig. 3 a). The amplitude of the oscillation doesn't vary with the magnetic field but remarkably a phase shift can be seen. At zero magnetic field P_{lin} has its maximum at about 0° sample rotation, but at 9 T the maximum is shifted to about 45°. This phase shift $\alpha(B)$ is shown in Fig. 3 b) as function of the applied magnetic field. In order to mathematically describe the anisotropy at magnetic fields, the Eq. (5) must be modified in the following way:

$$P_{\mathrm{lin}}(\phi, B) = d\cos(4\phi + \phi_0 + \alpha(B)) + e. \tag{12}$$

In contrast to the angle dependence of P_{lin} the polarization rotation θ shows no significant phase shift at



FIG. 3. Linear polarization properties of the ZPL at high magnetic fields: a) Angular anisotropy of the linear polarization degree P_{lin} dependent on the sample rotation ϕ at zero magnetic field (blue dots) and at 9 T (red dots), the fit functions (solid line) comply with Eq. (5) using the additional parameter $\phi_0 = \phi_0(B)$. b) Phase shift α dependent on the applied magnetic field. c) Linear polarization rotation θ at a fixed angle depending on the magnetic field.

magnetic fields, but the amplitude of the 90° periodic oscillation increases drastically. At zero magnetic field θ varies between +15° and −15°, whereas at 9 T the variation lies between 10° and 40°. In Fig. 3 c) the dependence of θ on the magnetic field is shown. One clearly sees that θ increases linearly with rising magnetic field. To describe the angular anisotropy, the Eq. (7) is modified by $g = g(B)$ leading to

$$g(B) = (2.8 \pm 0.4)^\circ + (2.28 \pm 0.07)^\circ/\mathrm{T} \cdot B(\mathrm{T}). \tag{13}$$

This dependence demonstrates that not only crystallographic properties are relevant for the polarization properties of the NV$^-$ center, but also the coupling of the spin and orbital angular momenta with the external magnetic field plays a major role. In that context, the magnetic field induces an optical anisotropy; hence, with rising magnetic field the linearly polarized ZPL becomes more radiatively effective than circularly polarized transitions. This is most probably due to a mixing of states with total angular momentum difference of 0 at high magnetic fields. Therefore, the oscillator strength of the linearly polarized states may increase stronger with the magnetic field than the circularly polarized states.

In conclusion, we observe an anisotropic angle dependence in the linear polarization of the NV$^-$ ZPL, which arises from the orientation of the NV$^-$ center in the diamond lattice. A phenomenological approach that considers symmetry aspects of the crystal and center orientations models the observed anisotropy well. In presence of a strong magnetic field an additional field-induced optical anisotropy is seen in the rotation of the linear polarization plane. In contrast to that, the linear polarization degree anisotropy undergoes a phase shift. Both effects increase linearly with the increase in the magnetic field strength.

* Corresponding author: joerg.debus@tu-dortmund.de

[1] F. Jelezko and J. Wrachtrup, Phys. Stat. Sol. **13**, 3207 (2006).
[2] E. Togan, Y. Chu, A. S. Trifonov, L. Jiang, J. Maze, L. Childress, M. V. G. Dutt, A. S. Sorensen, P. R. Hemmer, A. S. Zibrov and M. D. Lukin, Nature **466**, 730 (2010).
[3] P. C. Maurer, G. Kucsko, C. Latta, L. Jiang, N. Y. Yao, S. D. Bennett, F. Pastawski, D. Hunger, N. Chisholm, M. Markham, D. J. Twitchen, J. I. Cirac, and M. D. Lukin, Science **336**, 1283 (2012).
[4] J. R. Maze, P. L. Stanwix, J. S. Hodges, S. Hong, J. M. Taylor, P. Cappellaro, L. Jiang, M. V. G. Dutt, E. Togan, A. S. Zibrov, A. Yacoby, R. L. Walsworth, and M. D. Lukin, Nature **455**, 644 (2008).
[5] F. Dolde, H. Fedder, M. W. Doherty, T. Nöbauer, F. Rempp, G. Balasubramanian, T. Wolf, F. Reinhard, L. C. L. Hollenberg, F. Jelezko and J. Wrachtrup, Nature Phys. **7**, 459 (2011).
[6] T. P. Mayer Alegre, C. Santori, G. Medeiros-Ribeiro, and R. G. Beausoleil, Phys. Rev. B **76**, 165205 (2007).
[7] M. W. Doherty, F. Dolde, H. Fedder, F. Jelezko, J. Wrachtrup, N. B. Manson, and L. C. L. Hollenberg, Phys. Rev. B **85**, 205203 (2012).
[8] L. D. Landau, E. M. Lifshitz, The Classical Theory of Fields, 4th ed., Pergamon Press (Oxford, 1975).
[9] N. Diep Lai, D. Zheng, F. Jelezko, F. Treussart and J. Roch, Appl. Phys. Lett. **95**, 133101 (2009).
[10] M. A. Alekseev, I. Ya. Karlik, I. A. Merkulov, D. N. Mirlin, Yu. T. Rebane, and V. F. Sapega, Sov. Phys. Solid State **27**, 2650 (1985).